

## UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO 09/675,074 09/28/00 TANIGUCHI Т 9792909-4642 **EXAMINER** WM02/0221 DAVID R. METZGER HINDI, N SONNESCHEIN, NATH & ROSENTHAL **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER P.O. BOX 061080 WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER. 2651 CHICAGO IL 60606-1080 DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

02/21/01

## Application No. 09/675,074

Applicant(s)

Examiner

**Group Art Unit** 2651

**TANIGUCHI ET AL** 

## Office Action Summary

**NABIL HINDI** 

Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 29, 2000	•
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal r in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to responsibility application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time 37 CFR 1.136(a).	nd within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
X Claim(s) 10, 12-14, 19, 22, 24-26, 35, 38, and 40-42	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
	e subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review	v, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by	the Examiner.
$\square$ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is	s 🗀 pproved 🗀 disapproved.
$\square$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
$\square$ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the price	ority documents have been
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	· ·
$\hfill\Box$ received in this national stage application from the Internati	ional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	
<del></del>	
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
<ul> <li>☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413</li> <li>☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948</li> <li>☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152</li> </ul>	

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Application/Control Number: 09/675074

Art Unit: 2651

In response to applicant's pre-amendment dated Sep. 29, 2000. The following action is taken:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: fig 7; fig 8; fig 9; fig 10; fig 11; and fig 12 corresponding to at least six different species.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is considered generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CAR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MEP. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/675074 Page 3

Art Unit: 2651

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CAR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to NABIL.HINDI at telephone number (703) 308.1555

MARY EXAMINER
GROUP 2500